April 22, 2011

Reading: Chapters 12, 13

Messed up sky watch recording?

Happy Earth Day!

Astronomy in the news: report yesterday (???) that suggests that in the early Universe Dark Energy was pulling galaxy stuff apart while Dark Matter pulled matter in, altering the structure of galaxy clusters. Possible means to detect Dark Energy. Purple jelly bean to anyone who can find a recent report on this.

Pic of the day: The Virgo Cluster of Galaxies.



Goal:

To understand how physicists are attempting to cope with the existence and nature of the acceleration of the Universe driven by Dark Energy.

Einstein’s theory of the behavior of the Universe contained a “Cosmological Constant” that could be positive, negative, or zero.

Einstein first argued it was positive in order to provide an anti-gravitating force to counteract gravity to keep the Universe from expanding or contracting. Then the expansion of the Universe was discovered and he called it a “blunder.”

Current results on the expansion are consistent with the Dark Energy behaving in accord with Einstein’s Cosmological Constant.

Even if true, we still need to know what it is, physically! Why does this “constant” have the value it does?

Theories of quantum fields suggest that the Dark Energy could or should vary with time and space.

One theory called “quintessence” (the fifth essence, after the Greek earth, air, fire, and water) would have that property.

Other theories call for interaction with other 3D Universes “elsewhere” in hyperspace.

The race is on to determine whether the Dark Energy is constant (Einstein’s cosmological constant) or not (some quantum field).

Texas astronomers will be doing the Hobby-Eberly Telescope Dark Energy Experiment (HETDEX) starting next summer for three years to try to answer this question.

Goal:

To understand why we need a new theory of Quantum Gravity and the ideas involved in the attempt to construct that theory.

Quantum Gravity - The Final Frontier

The remainder of the class will be spent exploring various aspects of the most fundamental issue of modern physics: reconciling ***Einstein's theory of gravity*** as curved space with the ***quantum theory*** of how things behave at a fundamental microscopic level.

The problem - each of these great theories of 20th century physics contradict one another at a fundamental level.

Einstein’s theory predicts ***singularities*** at the beginning of the Big Bang and in the centers of black holes where matter is crushed to a point with infinite density, time and space come to a halt. Quantum theory says the position of nothing, not even a singularity, can be specified exactly (the Uncertainty Principle applied to singularities).

Quantum theory is designed to work in flat, or gently curving space. It does not make sense when the curvature of space is tighter than the “wavelength,” the uncertainty in position, of a particle.

Each great theory of 20th century physics contradicts the other!

Can use current theories to "predict" the conditions for which the theoretical collision occurs, where the theory of quantum gravity is most crucially needed, effectively the scale of length where quantum uncertainty and space-time curvature are equal.

Planck length - about 10^{-33} centimeters, vastly smaller than any particle, but still not zero!

Planck density - about 10^{93} grams/cubic centimeter, huge, but not infinite!

Planck time - about 10^{-43} seconds, short, but not zero! Cannot predict earlier times in the Big Bang.

On the Planck scale, space and time themselves could be quantum uncertain, "up" "down" "before" "after" difficult if not impossible to define.

Spacetime becomes a "quantum foam" (a poetic concept without a mathematical/physical framework).

Quantum Foam

At the Planck length scale



From Brian Greene

The Elegant Universe

We need an embracing theory of ***quantum gravity*** that will reduce to ordinary gravity and ordinary quantum theory where they work well (away from singularities and with non-severe curvature - same thing!), but will also tell us what a "singularity" really is.



The world of the small

The world of the large

One Minute Exam

As an explanation for the Dark Energy, a quantum field would be different from Einstein's Cosmological Constant because a quantum field would

- → Be constant in space
- ← Vary in time
- ↑ Gravitate
- ↓ Anti-gravitate

One Minute Exam

In a theory of quantum gravity, the singularity in a black hole would have a density of

➡ infinity

⬅ about 10^{93} grams/cubic centimeter

⬆ about 10^{-33} grams/cubic centimeter

⬇ about 10^{-43} grams/cubic centimeter

Goal:

To understand how Einstein's theory predicts worm holes and time machines and how we need a theory of quantum gravity to understand if those are really possible.

Worm Holes and Time Machines

(Chapter 13)

Amazing mathematical developments in the context of Carl Sagan's ***Contact*** by Kip Thorne and Igor Novikov:

Einstein's equations allow the possibility of worm holes. To be stable, they must be held open by some imagined "substance" that anti-gravitates.

Highly curved space, but no singularity.

The Dark Energy gives a hint that such a "substance" could exist.

Wormholes

Serious physics lesson - leads to need for quantum gravity

Wormhole - connection from one place in 3D space to another
(through hyperspace? Do not need to know to construct 3D solution)

Result - highly curved space, but no event horizon, no singularity

Use 2D Embedding Diagram to help picture what is going on in 3D space balloon (but can't connect)

Backstory: Sagan/Thorne CONTACT

Sagan wanted “connection” through Einstein-Rosen Bridge



Thorne - Jodie Foster will die a screaming death by noodleization in singularity - no good

Could open mouth to make worm hole, but would be unstable, would slam shut

In principle, could stabilize with “Exotic Matter,” anti-gravity stuff like Dark Energy

Not ruled out by physics - good enough for Sagan, book and film