

Recall Argument Against Travel

Communication is much cheaper than travel

Energy needed for Mass (M) at speed (v)

E = 1/2 Mv² if v much less than c

e.g., travel to nearest star (4 Ay) in 40 yr

$$\Rightarrow$$
 v = 0.1 c \Rightarrow E = 4.1 × 10⁻⁹ ergs for M = M (electron)

$$E = hv$$

Photon
$$E = hv$$
 $h = 6.6 \times 10^{-27}$

$$v = frequency$$

$$= 6.6 \times 10^{-18} \text{ ergs}$$

if
$$v = 10^9$$
 Hz

Ratio $\sim 10^9$ (and photon gets there in 4 yrs)

100 M watt transmitter - 1 yr

$$$40 \times 10^{6}$$

Spacecraft to nearest star

$$\sim $5 \times 10^{16}$$

Why Consider Travel?

Reasons for Interstellar Travel

Reasons:

- Communication if searches fail
- 2. Exploration of other planetary systems

Planetary Science

Exobiology (many bacterial planets)

$$N_{\ell} = R f_{p} n_{e} f_{\ell} L_{\ell}$$
 $L_{\ell} > 3 \times 10^{9} \text{ yr on Earth}$ $Eirth Rate$ L_{ℓ} N_{ℓ} N_{ℓ} Happy Feller $Eind Solution Solution$

Other Reasons

- Colonization
 - Species Immortality
 - Could survive the end of life on Earth
- The explorer's urge
 - "to boldly go ..."

Pattern of Solar System Exploration

- Ground-based observations (telescopes)
- 2. Fly-by missions, Radio back results
- 3. Orbit or land, Radio back results
- 4. Mission with human beings Return to Earth (Moon Only)
- 5. Permanent Base (Not Yet)

Expect similar for Interstellar

Except

No Round Trips

Distances to Stars ~ Light Years

Time = Distance (Ly)
$$\sim$$
 4 Ly = 40 yr
Speed (Ly/y) 0.1 c

Round trip = 80 y

Project Daedalus

Design study for Fly-by Barnard's Star 6 ly away

Inertial confinement fusion

(Ignite pellets of hydrogen w/lasers, particles)

deuterium tritium causes problems

Daedalus: ${}^{2}H + {}^{3}He - {}^{4}He + p$

charged, control with mag. Field

 $\Delta E = 4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mc}^2$

Problem: 3 He rare \Rightarrow Mine Jupiter?

Design:

v = 0.12c

travel for 50 yrs

500 ton payload

54,000 tons at takeoff

50,000 tons of fuel

 $v_e = 10^4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$


 $R_{\rm M} = 12 \text{ or } 100$

(payload)

 $s.i. = 10^6 sec$



Project Daedalus 1973-1978 British Interplanetary Society



10² T payload

> 10⁶ Isp 12% Light speed

> > Micro-Fusion explosions ~ 250/sec

36 YEARS' Interstellar fly-by


* Was 50 years to Barnard's star < 6.90Y


CD-94-68553


Faster Travel?


- If we could travel close to speed of light
- Time Slows down
- Could travel more light years than years on the space ship clock
- Though not on the clock on the home planet


The Twin Paradox











HOW CAN WE COMMUNICATE?

TABLE 18.2 Round-Trip Times for Journeys at an Acceleration of 1 g*

| Time as Measured by Spacecraft Crew (years) | Time as Measured on Earth (years) | Greatest Distance Reached (light years) | Farthest Object Reached |
|---|---|--|----------------------------|
| 1 | 1 | 0.06 | Comets |
| 10 | 24 | 9 | Sirius |
| 20 | 270 | 140 | Hyades |
| 30 | 3100 | 1,500 | Orion Nebula |
| 40 | 36,000 | 17,500 | Globular cluster |
| 50 | 420,000 | 170,000 | Large Magellanic Cloud |
| 60 | 5,000,000 | 2 million | Andromeda galaxy |

^{*} Following an example given by Sebastian von Hoerner, we imagine a spacecraft that accelerates at 1 g; that is, the force of acceleration or deceleration equals the force of gravity at the Earth's surface. After one year, such a spacecraft would be moving at a velocity very close to the speed of light.

Problems with fast travel

- Mass ratio (R_M) increases rapidly with v
 - $-M = M_o \gamma$
 - at v = 0.99c, best possible fuel: $R_M = 14$
- You have to take fuel to slow down
 - Fuel is payload on the way out
 - $R_M = 14 \times 14 = 196$
- To return you need all this fuel as payload
 - $-R_{M} = 196 \times 196 \sim 40,000$
- And you need antimatter-matter for $R_M=14$

Rocket Limitation

Fropellant Mass to send one canister past Centauri Cluster within 900 years

Chemical Fission Fusion lon/Antimatter (500 sec) (5,000 sec) (10,000 sec) (50,000 sec) = 10¹³⁷ kg = 10¹⁷ kg = 10⁵ kg

A BILLION A THOUSAND TEN

Not enough mass in universe

Conclusion: we need a Propulsion Breakthrough; NO PROPELLANT I

No Propellant?

- Bussard RamJet
 - Scoop up fuel as you go
 - Problems
 - Very diffuse (need huge scoop)
 - Hydrogen is low-grade fuel
 - You want rare ²H + ³He

Future Fantasies?

Consider now some things that are outside physics as we know it, but **might** be possible.

Wormholes

General relativity: A. Einstein

Matter warps space-time
This warp is gravity

e.g. Black holes pinch off a piece of the Universe - even light cannot escape

Rotating black hole — wormhole (maybe)

Wormholes

Unlikely to form when a star collapses


If it forms, it is unstable

Traveler probably cannot pass through

Loophole - stabilize it somehow?

Exotic Matter?

View entering a wormhole artist's conception



Warp Drives

Faster than light travel?

Not possible for ordinary matter, physics

$$M = \gamma M_0 \Rightarrow M \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } V \rightarrow C$$

Loophole:

c is a speed limit for motion **in** space-time not **of** space-time

e.g., The space-time of the universe expanded faster than c during **very** early **inflationary**_ expansion

The Universe now seems to have a lot of "dark energy"

Source unknown

Acts like antigravity on large scales

Could we ever control this?

Alcubierre Warp

~ 1994 Miguel Alcubierre suggested use of "exotic matter" to surf a space-time distortion

Contract space in front, expand behind

Does exotic matter (negative mass) exist?

Can we control it?

Energy requirements

Originally thought to exceed that available in entire universe

Later calculations are less extreme

Back to Reality

- Hard to decide if very advanced civilizations might develop such schemes
- Use only laws of physics as constraint apply to all civilizations, no matter how advanced their technology

Assume Daedalus technology (v = 0.1 c)

$$t = d_{*} \sim 4 ly = 40 yrs$$

v 0.1

Multi-generational travel (space colony + propulsion)

How long to colonize galaxy?


$$t_{gal} = r_{gal} \over v_{exp}$$

$$V_{exp} = 2d_{\star}$$

$$t = d *_{V} + t_{reg}$$

$$v = 0.1 c$$

$$t_{req} = 500 \text{ yr}$$



If
$$r_{gal} = 80 \times 10^3$$
 ly

$$t_{gal} = 80 \times 10^3$$

$$1.5 \times 10^{-2}$$

$$\sim 50 \times 10^5$$

$$5 \times 10^6 \text{ yr}$$

Time available: Age of galaxy minus time for first advanced civilization to arise:

$$10 \times 10^9 - 5 \times 10^9 \sim 5 \times 10^9$$

t colonization << t Galaxy

How likely?

How many civilizations ever developed?

Birthrate \times age of Galaxy = $N_{(ever)}$

Happy Feller
$$50 \times 5 \times 10^9 = 2.5 \times 10^{11}$$

Angela Angst $7.5 \times 10^{-8} \times 5 \times 10^9 = 375$
Average Guy $0.93 \times 5 \times 10^9 = 4.7 \times 10^9$

If even **one** of these decided to colonize, it should already have happened!

Possible consequences:

- 1. Galactic community
- 2. Solar-system has been visited
- 3. Solar-system being monitored
- 4. Solar-system leakage radiation detected?

Hart Hypothesis

Fact: There are no intelligent beings from outer Space on the Earth now.

Only 5 possible explanations:

- 1. Space travel is not feasible
- 2. Civilizations **chose** not to colonize
- 3. Not enough time to colonize galaxy
- 4. The Earth was visited but they did not colonize
- 5. There are no other advanced civilizations

"Answers" to the Hart Hypothesis

- 1. Colonization may be much slower 10^6 yr regeneration \rightarrow $t_{colon} > 10^{10}$ yrs
- 2. Nomads/explorers make trips, not colonists!
- May be harder to adapt to life on a new planet than "we" think.We need 20 essential amino acids
- 4. Optimist's time scale for colonization > t for biological evolution Maybe >>
- 5. Possible development of "ecological ethic" Do not interfere
- 6. They <u>are</u> here! UFO's