# Planet Formation and Detection 

Estimating $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}$

## Planet Formation



SMM image of Vega JACH, Holland et al.

SMM image of Vega shows dust peaks off center from star (*). Fits a model with a Neptune like planet clearing a gap. Can test by looking for motion of clumps in debris disk.

## Disks versus Age of Star Evidence for Collisions



## Binary Stars

- About $2 / 3$ of all stars are in binaries
- Most common separation is 10-100 AU
- Can binary stars have disks?
- Yes, but binary tends to clear a gap
- Disks well inside binary orbit
- Or well outside binary orbit


## Brown Dwarfs

- Stars range from 0.07 to $\sim 100 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }}$
- Jupiter is about $0.001 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }}$
- Brown dwarfs between stars and planets
- Dividing line is somewhat arbitrary
- Usual choice is $13 \mathrm{M}_{\text {jupiter }}$
- Brown dwarfs rarely seen as companions to stars
- But "free-floaters" as common as stars
- Many young BDs have disks
- Planets around BDs?

Planet Detection
Methods and Results

## Can We See Them?

- Not yet, but there are plans...
- Problem is separating planet light from star light
- Star is $10^{9}$ times brighter in visible light
- "Only" $10^{6}$ times brighter in infrared


## Planet is Much Fainter than Star



## Indirect Detection

## Wobbling star

Detect effect of planet on star (both orbit around center of mass)


Large planet will make a star "wobble"


## Star and Planet Orbit Center of Mass




The Sun as viewed from 10 pc (~30 $/ \mathrm{y})$

## The Astrometric Technique

Measure stellar position (angle) accurately - see wobble compared to more distant stars

How far does the star wobble?
Center of mass


We measure angle; for small angles,

$$
\Theta=\frac{R_{*}}{D} \quad \text { in radians }
$$



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { so } \quad \Theta=\frac{M_{p l} r}{M_{*}} \frac{r}{D} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Big planet, big orbit } \\
\text { small star, close to sun }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

Current limit: $1 \mathrm{mas}=10^{-3}$ arcsec $=2.8 \times 10^{-6}$ degrees

$$
=4.9 \times 10^{-8} \quad \text { radians }
$$

e.g. $M_{p l}=M_{\text {Jupiter }}, M_{*}=M_{\odot}, D=15 l y \Rightarrow \Theta=1 \mathrm{mas}$

| Planet | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{P}}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{J}}\right)$ | R <br> $(\mathrm{AU})$ | P <br> $($ years $)$ | $\mathrm{V} \star$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{m} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $\Theta$ at 10 pc <br> $(\mathrm{mas})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mercury | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.387 | 0.241 | 0.008 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-6$ |
| Venus | $2.56 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.723 | 0.615 | 0.086 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| Earth | $3.15 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.089 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| Mars | $3.38 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 1.524 | 1.881 | 0.008 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-5$ |
| Jupiter | 1.0 | 5.203 | 11.86 | 12.4 | 0.497 |
| Saturn | 0.299 | 9.54 | 29.46 | 2.75 | 0.273 |
| Uranus | 0.046 | 19.18 | 84.01 | 0.297 | 0.084 |
| Neptune | 0.054 | 30.06 | 164.8 | 0.281 | 0.156 |
| Pluto | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-6$ | 39.44 | 247.7 | $3 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-5$ |

## The Spectroscopic Technique

Measure velocity, not position, of star
Use spectrometer to get Doppler Shift of spectral line


Big planet, small orbit
Shift $\propto \quad V_{*} \propto \frac{M_{p l}}{M_{*}^{1 / 2} r^{1 / 2}}$ Small star
Distance doesn't matter (except for brightness)
Edge - On

## The Doppler Shift

## Light is a wave


wavelength seen by wavelength seen by $\mathcal{f}$
BLUESHIFT

## REDSHIFT

$$
\frac{\lambda \text { observed }}{\lambda \text { emitted }}=1+\frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{c}}
$$

Doppler Shift $\longrightarrow$ Magnitude and direction of velocity

## But only along line-of-sight



Motion of the Sun caused by Jupiter, ...

| Planet | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{P}}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{J}}\right)$ | R <br> $(\mathrm{AU})$ | P <br> $($ years $)$ | $\mathrm{V} \star$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{m} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $\Theta$ at 10 pc <br> $(\mathrm{mas})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mercury | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.387 | 0.241 | 0.008 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-6$ |
| Venus | $2.56 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.723 | 0.615 | 0.086 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| Earth | $3.15 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.089 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| Mars | $3.38 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 1.524 | 1.881 | 0.008 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-5$ |
| Jupiter | 1.0 | 5.203 | 11.86 | 12.4 | 0.497 |
| Saturn | 0.299 | 9.54 | 29.46 | 2.75 | 0.273 |
| Uranus | 0.046 | 19.18 | 84.01 | 0.297 | 0.084 |
| Neptune | 0.054 | 30.06 | 164.8 | 0.281 | 0.156 |
| Pluto | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-6$ | 39.44 | 247.7 | $3 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-5$ |

## What We Can Learn

1. There is a planet
(If not a mistake)
2. The orbital period (P)
(The time for pattern to repeat)
3. The orbital radius
$r^{3} \propto M_{*} \mathrm{P}^{2}$
(Kepler's Third Law)
4. Lower limit to planet mass $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{pl}}\right)$

Conservation of momentum $\qquad$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{p l} & \geqslant \frac{M_{*} V_{\star} P}{2 \pi r} \\
& =\text { if we see orbit edge-on } \\
& >\text { if tilted }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Comparison of Search Methods

Advantages

Astrometric<br>Big Planet<br>Big Orbit<br>Small Star<br>Nearby Star

| Astrometric | Spectroscopic |
| :---: | :---: |
| Big Planet | Big Planet |
| Big Orbit | Small Orbit |
| Small Star | Small Star |
| Nearby Star | -- |

Edge-on Orbit

## Other Methods

Transits: Planet passes in front of a star


Only about 0.5\% of stars with planets will line up
planet
star


First planet found with this method in January 2003; 5 detected as of January 2005

Microlensing: Light from more distant star is focused by gravity of nearer star passing in front


Fortuitous alignment $\Rightarrow$ brightens

One planet found this way as of January 2005


## Planets from the Transit Method



OGLE-TR-10

## Planet Detected by Microlensing



Sharp spikes indicate second lens. Mass of second lens only $0.4 \%$ as massive as star. Companion is very likely a planet.

## OGLE 2003-BLG-235/MOA 2003-BLG-53

## Future Prospects

Direct detection (and study) of Earth-like planets
~ 2015 Terrestrial Planet Finder (TPF) Darwin (Europe)

Astrometric Method GAIA ~ 2010
Ms Planets out to 600 ly .

Further Spectroscopic Searches

Transits
Kepler (~ 2007)
Monitor 100,000 stars for 4 years
"Hundreds of Terrestrial Planets"

Comparative Image of Extrasolar Systems
PLANETS AROUND NORMAL STARS


Courtesy San Francisco State University Astronomy Department

## The Upsilon Andromedae System



## Our Inner Solar System

Mercury':
0.39 AU . 89 day orbit:

Venus
0.73 AU .228 day orbit

Earth
1.00 AU 1 year orbit.

Mars
1.54 AU 1.9 year orbit

Artist's conception of the view from the outmost planet of three in Upsilon Andromedae


## Artist's conception of Transit of HD209458



Artist's conception of 47 U ma "view" from Moon of the Second Planet



## Implications of New Planets

Planets more massive than Jupiter can form around stars like the Sun.

Large Planets can form much closer to a star than Jupiter (or move there)

Does this mean we are unusual and our ideas about other planetary systems are just "solar system chauvinism"?

Not necessarily.

The ones found so far are the "easy" ones. (Big planets close to a star)
Now there are many more with lower masses than higher masses

Too early to say that we are unusual.


## - with about 80 extrasolar planet candidates identified:



- more than 1000 stars examined.

Successful Doppler planet search programs:
ELODIE/CORALIE (H.P./La Silla) Mayor, Queloz, Udry, et al. (North/South)
Hamilton/HIRES (Lick/Keck) Marcy, Butler, Fischer, et al. (North)
Cs23 (McDonald 2.7m) Cochran, Hatzes (North)
AFOE (Whipple) Noyes, Brown, et al. (North)
ESO CES (La Silla) Kurster, Hatzes, Endl, et al. (South)
UCLES (AAT) Butler, Tinney, et al. (South)

## Direct Detection in Future

- Terrestrial Planet Finder (TPF)/Darwin
- TPF-C Visible light coronagraph (~2014)
- TPF-I Infrared interferometer (~2020)
- Goal is to detect earth-mass planets
- And to see what gases in atmosphere
- Suitable for life?
- http://planetquest.jpl.nasa.gov/TPF/tpf_index.html


## TPF Concepts

TPF-I Infrared Interferometer (2020)


TPF-C Visible light coronagraph (2014)

## Spectroscopy of atmosphere



## Planet Detection Methods

Michael Perryman, Rep. Prog. Phys., 2000, 63, 1209 (updated November 2004)
[corrections or suggestions please to michael.perryman@esa.int]


## Brown Dwarfs

Between stars and planets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M<0.07 M_{\odot} \quad \text { cannot fuse hydrogen } \\
& \text { substellar } \\
& M \gtrsim 0.013 M_{\odot} \simeq 13 M_{\text {jup }} \quad \text { (This boundary is still argued about) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Emit infrared and cool slowly as they release gravitational potential energy
Very few are found as stellar companions

But they appear to be common as "free-floaters"
May have their own planets ??

Implications:

1. Stars and planets form in different ways (no intermediate masses in orbit)
2. There could be free-floating planets
3. Brown dwarfs might have planets (bigger $f_{p}$ - but suitable for life??)

## Current Statistics (Jan. 2005)

- Based on Extrasolar Planets Encyclopedia - http://www.obspm.fr/encycl/encycl.html
- 147 Planets in 128 systems
- 15 with multiple planets
- Most planets in one system is 4 (55 Cancri)
- Least massive $0.042 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Jup}}=13 \mathrm{M}_{\text {Earth }}$


## Estimating $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}$

- Maximum? $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}} \sim 1$
- All young stars may have disks
- Binaries?
- Can have disks, but planet formation?
- Even if form planets, orbits may not be stable
- If reject binaries, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}<0.3$


## Estimating $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}$

- Minimum?
- Based on success rate of searches ( $\mathrm{n}_{\text {found }} / \mathrm{n}_{\text {searched }}$ )
- Estimates now up to $5 \%\left(f_{p}>0.05\right)$
- Note larger than 0.02 given in book
- Extrapolate trends to finding
- Smaller planets, larger orbits, ...
- Estimates range from 0.11 to 0.25
- Allowed range: $f_{p}=0.05$ to 1.0
- Explain your choice!
- Include/exclude binaries?

