



McDonald Observatory THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

# On-sky Image Quality Verification / Compensation Plan

March 27, 2015 (original) Hanshin Lee







- Expected alignment-drive aberrations contributing to the image quality degradation
  - Major terms : Field constant coma, Field linear curvature/astigmatisms.
    - Additionally, field quadratic coma and field cubic astigmatisms can contribute.
  - All these terms are linearly coupled to alignment parameters.
- Available compensators and their influences
  - WFC motions
    - Decenter : Strongly image position, weakly field constant coma.
    - Tip/tilt : Strongly field constant coma, weakly field linear curvature/astigmatism.
  - Focal Surface (FS) motions
    - Decenter : Strongly image position, weakly field linear curvature.
    - Tip/tilt : Strongly field linear curvature.
- First-order plan
  - Align FS with respect to WFC.
  - Point HET to on-sky target (geostationary satellite) on-axis and minimize coma by tilting WFC.
  - Point to the target at off-axis FS positions and measure curvature.
  - If necessary, minimize field linear curvature by tilting the FP



#### Layout & Error budget

0.19wv linear

0.33wv coma

curvature

- Three major subsystems
  - Focal Plane Assembly (FPA)
  - Wide Field Corrector (WFC)
  - Primary Mirror (M1)
- Critical alignment
  - WFC FPA
  - M1 WFC
- Static alignment error budget
  - WFC-FPA
    - Focus: ±0.3mm
    - Centration: ±0.17mm<sup>-</sup>
    - Tilt: ±90arcseconds
  - M1-WFC
    - Focus: ±0.01mm
    - Centration: ±0.01mm <sup>-</sup>
    - Tilt: ±4arcseconds



# **WFC-FPA Alignment Scheme**



- Reticle target in FPA is aligned to M4
  CGH Reference in centration/tilt
- SMR target in FPA is aligned to M4
  Vertex Reference in focus





### **Alignment Reference**



McDonald Observatory THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN



Picture of M4 CGH Ref

Picture of M4 VTX Ref



# Video Alignment Telescope



McDonald Observatory



- VAT aligned to M4 CGH Reference to the following accuracy estimate (based on previous tests)
  - Centration: ±0.01mm at 3σ
  - Tilt: ±5arcseconds at 3σ





#### **API Laser Tracker**



McDonald Observatory THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN



- LT to M4 Vertex Reference
  - Focus: ±0.025mm(?) at 3σ.

Laser tracker mounted on the Tracker (Picture)





- FPA targets installed to the focal surface
  - Centration accuracy:±0.025mm at 3σ (Reticle/SMR)
  - Tilt accuracy: ±10arcseconds at 3σ (Reticle)
  - Focus accuracy: ±0.015mm(?) at 3σ (SMR)
- Using VAT (wrt M4 CGH reference), align FPA Target in centration/tilt
  - Centration measurement accuracy: ±0.05mm at 3σ
  - Tilt measurement accuracy: ±5arcseconds at 3σ
- Using Laser Tracker (wrt M4 Vertex reference), align FPA target in focus
  - Focus measurement accuracy: ±0.05mm(?) at 3σ



#### **FPA** Targets



McDonald Observatory THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

SMR Target



**Reticle Target Picture** 



# **FPA Target alignment control**





- FPA mounted to the Rho stage on a manual hexapod
  - Centration control accuracy: ±TBD at 3σ
  - Tilt control accuracy: ±TBD at 3σ
  - Focus control accuracy: ±TBD at 3σ



#### **Deployable Wavefront Sensor** (DWFS)









- DWFS parameters (Hartmann-Shack Sensor)
  - Detector: 5.86 microns pixel, 82% QE, 50 fps, Global shutter
  - Pixel scale: 0.14 arcsec.
  - Field of View: 6 arcsec diameter.
  - MLA pitch: 0.25mm diameter (Hexagonal shape)
  - Sub-aperture density across HET pupil: 19 (Hexagonal grid)
  - Maximum mode to be sensed: Up to Zernike #55 (radial order 10).
  - Calibrated accuracy: 0.01wv(TBD) per mode at 3σ



Registration of SMR to the field stop:  $\pm 0.005$ mm(?) at  $3\sigma$ .

Repeatability of the KM:  $\pm 0.005$  mm(?) at  $3\sigma$ .

LT measurement of SMRs within FPA:  $\pm 0.025$ mm(?) at  $3\sigma$ .



# Focal Surface Registration (FSR) Fixture



McDonald Observatory THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN



50



#### **Critical alignment (tilt)**





- Tilt between the mean DWFS surface and the focal surface •
  - Focal surface tilt is used to correct the linear field curvature.
  - Systematic tilt between two surfaces results in error in the linear \_ field curvature measurement
  - The tilt can be known to the accuracy of  $\pm 64(?)$  arcsec at  $3\sigma$ .



# Roll-up of WFC-FPA-DWFS alignment error estimate



| Contributor  | Focus (µm)         | Centration<br>(µm) | Tilt<br>(arcsec)   | Comment  |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| FPA Target setup   | 15                 | 25                 | 10                 | Installation accuracy  |
| VAT cent/tilt to M4 CGH                                    | -                  | 10                 | 5                  | Measurement accuracy   |
| VAT cent/tilt to FPA Reticle                               | -                  | 50                 | 5                  | Measurement accuracy   |
| LT focus to M4 VTX   | 25(?)              | -                  | -                  | Measurement accuracy at 2m   |
| LT focus to FPA SMR  | 50(?)              | -                  | -                  | Measurement accuracy at 4m   |
| Manual hexapod control                                     | TBD                | TBD                | TBD                | Not counted for now  |
| Deviation of the mean<br>DWFS surface wrt focal<br>surface | 36(?)<br>(maximum) | small              | 64(?)<br>(maximum) | Registration / measurement accuracy<br>(assuming all errors go to either<br>focus or tilt) |
| Cumulative   | 68                 | 57                 | 65                 | RSS at 3σ  |
| Requirement  | 300                | 170                | 90                 |  |