

Brief Summary from “NIRB and EoR” Workshop

Eiichiro Komatsu (Texas Cosmology Center, UT Austin; Max-Planck-
Institut für Astrophysik)

May 15, 2012

Revised and endorsed by SOC

May 25, 2012

Developing Consensus

- We are fortunate to have almost everyone working on NIRB/EBL in this room.
- Let's develop some consensus.

Consensus#1.1

- Do the measurements of the total sky brightness agree?
- **Yes**, *before subtracting foreground.*
 - Wright [DIRBE]; Matsumoto [IRTS]; Thompson [NICMOS]; Matsuura [CIBER]
- **No**, *after subtracting foreground.*
 - Different models of Zodiacal Light, ranging from DIRBE/Kelsall to DIRBE/Wright, give different Extragalactic Background Light residuals in the 1-2 μ m range. At lower levels Galactic foregrounds must also be better understood.

Consensus#1.2

- Do the measurements of the power spectrum agree?
- **Yes**, *on the angular scales and wavelengths where they overlap and are trusted.*
 - Kashlinsky [Spitzer]; Thompson [NICMOS]; Smidt [Spitzer; HST/CANDELS]; Matsumoto [AKARI];
Who's actually overlapping?

Community Crash ;)

- Infrared people [Thompson; Matsumoto; Kashlinsky; Ferrara]: $q^2 P(q)$ as a function of $(2\pi)/q$ [arcsec]
- CMB people [Cooray; Komatsu]: $l^2 C_l$ as a function of l
- It is unlikely that either side would change their notation; thus... let's get used to the conversion!
 - $l=10^4 \rightarrow (2\pi)/q=130$ arcsec
 - $l=10^3 \rightarrow (2\pi)/q=1300$ arcsec

Consensus#1.3

- Will a measurement of a Fraunhofer line give us final words on Zodi?
- **Not a final word**, but it will help. Uncertainties include:
 - Raman scattering; extended red emission; unresolved star light; Diffuse Galactic Light
- But, monitoring several Fraunhofer lines would help.
- It may be possible to quantify these uncertainties.

Consensus#2.1

- **IF:**

1. Secondary gamma-rays from cosmic-ray protons produced by blazars do not contribute; **and**
2. There exists a lower bound on the photon index, $\Gamma_{\min}=1.5$ (e.g., $dN_{\gamma}/dE \sim E^{-\Gamma}$ with $\Gamma \geq 1.5$)

THEN

- The current data on spectra of TeV gamma-rays from blazars indicate that most of the claimed “near infrared background excess” is not EBL but something like Zodi.

Consensus#2.2

- **IF:**
 - I. Secondary gamma-rays from cosmic-ray protons produced by blazars **DO** contribute
- THEN**
- The current data on spectra of TeV gamma-rays from blazars are unable to place upper bounds on EBL.

Consensus#2.3

- Gamma-ray photons coincident with GRB cannot be explained by secondary gamma-rays from cosmic-ray protons.
- Therefore, GRBs would play an important role in deciding whether most of the claimed “near infrared background excess” is EBL or something like Zodi.

Consensus#3.1

- Does the source-subtracted NIRB/EBL come from $z > 6$ or $z < 6$?
- We do not know.
 - Color of the NICMOS data suggest $z < 8$ (Thompson)
 - Current low- z galaxy data suggest $z > 6$ (Kashlinsky)
 - Current high- z galaxy data (UV luminosity function at $z = 6-8$) cannot explain it (Cooray)

Consensus#3.2

- None of the existing models [Cooray; Ferrara; Fernandez] are able to explain the observed power spectrum on large scales (**$l < 5000$; $\theta > 260''$**)
 - Both the amplitude (model lower than data) and color (model redder than data, l/λ^3) are off.
 - It may be possible to fit the power spectrum at one or two wavelengths, but not the others.
- We do not know what to do.

Consensus#3.3

- The current low- z galaxy data fail to explain the power spectrum, $P(q)$, at $(2\pi)/q > 30''$ measured from the Spitzer data at 3.6 and 4.5 μm .

Status of fluctuations: Summary

- Current fluctuation measurements show an excess over clustering from known galaxies, and the origin of these fluctuations is unknown.
- The SED of current fluctuation measurements is approximately Rayleigh-Jeans ($\nu I_\nu \sim \nu^3 \sim 1/\lambda^3$)
- Improved fluctuations and absolute background measurements going from the infrared into the optical are critical tests of the origin of fluctuations.

Sources?

- Known galaxy populations ($z < 6$)
- Faint, so-far-unresolved galaxies (but not first galaxies) responsible for reionization at $z \sim 6-7$
- Faint, so-far-unresolved quasars
- First galaxies (metal-free)
- First quasars



- Thank y'all for coming! Hope you enjoyed the workshop, food, and peacock.