AGN Physics with HETDEX (mostly low-z)

Martin Gaskell U. Texas (gaskell@astro.as.utexas.edu)

# Outline

- Fundamental supermassive blackhole questions HETDEX can address
- Finding low-luminosity AGNs what to expect from HETDEX

[Other issues:

- Instrumentation desires
- Parallel observations
- Data reduction pipeline issues]

### Fundamental Supermassive Black Hole Questions

- We want to understand how we got here
- We need to know how galaxies formed
- Every massive galaxy has a supermassive black hole (SMBH) in it.
- The masses of these black holes are *tightly* correlated with the properties of the bulges of the host galaxies.
- To understand the formation and evolution of galaxies we must understand the evolution of SMBHs over cosmic time.
- AGNs represent the growth phase of SMBHs
- AGNs are the easiest way to measure black hole masses and to probe the growth of SMBHs over time.
- Because of the importance of identifying "seed" black holes a valuable contribution of HETDEX to understanding SMBH/galaxy evolution is determining the luminosity function of AGNs at the very low end.

### How common are active lowluminosity galaxies?

#### Quite common!

- Seyfert (1943) original list: 1 in 6 ⇒ NGC 4051 – 1.9×10<sup>6</sup> M<sub>sun</sub>
- Herschel (late 18th century) ~ 3000 galaxies ⇒ NGC 4395 – 360,000 M<sub>sun</sub>
- plus a handful of Seyfert 2s (Veron & Veron 1984)
- Kunth et al. (1987) 1 in 23 emission-line galaxies ⇒ POX 52 ~160,000 M<sub>sun</sub>

# Comparison of HETDEX with SDSS

- HETDEX ~ 420/7.5 ~ 56 sq. deg (?) vs. SDSS ~ 8400 sq. deg.
- SDSS targeted ~ 930,000 galaxies and 120,000 quasars
- "The [HETDEX] survey will detect 0.8 million Lyman-alpha emitting (LAE) galaxies with 1.9<z<3.5 and more than a million [OII] emitting galaxies with z<0.5." (Hill et al. 2008)</li>
- Key point: although HETDEX covers a smaller area it (a) is not limited to a small number of fibers and (b) is blind – so avoids bias

**BIAS IN THE SDSS**: How were the QSOs selected?





# Close up of selection criteria:

**Remark 1:** a deeper SDSS-like survey would be even more biased!

Color Selection)

**Remark 2:** SDSS *galaxy* search criteria would not target POX 52 because it was unresolved

**Remark 3:** Greene & Ho (2004, 2007) lists taken from objects that were selected by SDSS *as AGNs* and *not as galaxies* (NGC 4395 would not be targeted *as an AGN*)



(Radio Selection)

## What an AGN is like



(From Gaskell, Klimek, & Goosmann 2009)

Dus

Broa

(Axis)

1

OUTIN

### Problem: most AGNs hidden, esp. at low luminosity





Most edge-on AGNs are also Compton thick – *i.e.*, can't readily see them in X-rays either.

**Conclusion:** to detect most low-mass AGNs the survey must not be biased by color

### [O III] selection is also biased:



Boroson & Green (1992)

# A good illustration of what HETDEX can find...



[O III] from a 21st mag. globular cluster! – an active intermediate mass BH??

Would not be in SDSS because (a) too faint, and (b) unresolved

Also, should find more POX 52 type objects (active versions of M 32?)

#### DESIRED SURVEY CHARACTERISTICS: BPT diagrams or "how do you know you've got an AGN when you can't see it directly?



# Non-DEX-survey observations (e.g., parallel)

- Could be very important for statistics of objects
- Potentially higher S/N ratio (but what about dithering?)
- Don't need same homogeneity as for the DEX experiment. ("V/V<sub>max</sub>")
- Value of *repeated* observations all type-1 AGNs are *variable*. Maybe a lot of low luminosity AGNs have been missed because they were turned off during SDSS.

# (DATA REDUCTION PIPELINE ISSUES)

Needs:

- Weak features ⇒ Good stellar template subtraction needed (with approximately right σ and [Fe/H])
- Automated emission line parameters
- Automated BPT classification to flag potentially interesting objects for follow up.