Average Lifetime of Technological Civilization

Average Lifetime of Technological Civilization

L = ?

- End of Communication Efforts
  (Civilization Survives)
  (Decades?)

- Civilization **Evolves** away from interest or capability (Post-technological Civilization)
  (Centuries - Millenia)

- Civilization **Collapses**
  (Reversion to Pre-technological Culture)

  Exhaustion of resources

  Population explosion

  (~ 100 yrs - 1000 yrs)

- Sudden, Catastrophic End of Civilization or Extinction of our Species

  Nuclear War leads to Nuclear Winter

  (10's - 100's of years)

  Natural Catastrophes (> 10^5 yr for most)

Resource Depletion

Metals, Drinkable Water, Arable Land, …

Energy is most fundamental

Energy is conserved

“Depletion” = conversion to less usable forms
(entropy increases)

World Energy Usage

- 474 exajoules/year (474 x 10^{18} Joules/year)
  - 15 Terawatts (15 x 10^{12} Watts)
- Total Available (controversial and uncertain)
  - Fossil fuels 0.4 x 10^{24} Joules (800 years)
  - Nuclear fission 2.5 x 10^{24} Joules (5000 yr)
- Potential Renewable (rate of supply)
  - Solar 3.3 x current usage
  - Wind 1.4 x current usage

History of Energy Use in USA

Consumption by Source

Figure 5. Energy Consumption by Source, 1635-2003



Figure 6. Energy Consumption History and Outlook, 1949-2025



In the long view of American history, wood served as the preeminent form of energy for about half of the Nation's history. Around 1885, coal surpassed wood's usage. Despite its tremendous and rapid expansion, coal was, in turn, overtaken by petroleum in the middle of the 20th century. Natural gas, too, experienced rapid development into the second half of the 20th century, and coal began to expand again. Late in the 20th century still another form of energy, nuclear electric power, was developed and made significant contributions.

While the Nation's energy history is one of large-scale change as new forms of energy were developed, the outlook for the next couple of decades (assuming current laws, regulations, and policies) is for continued growth and reliance on the three major fossil fuels—petroleum, natural gas, and coal—modest expansion in renewable resources, and relatively flat generation from nuclear electric power.

Total Energy Usage



International Energy Agency

1 Mtoe = energy from burning 1 Million metric tonnes of oil

1 toe = 42 x 10^9 Joules

Energy Consumption per capita



International Energy Agency

Side Effects

- General Pollution of Air, Water, Land
  - Makes resources less usable
  - Air pollution, respiratory problems
  - Undrinkable water
  - Desertification of farm-lands

- Ozone Layer Destruction
  - $\Rightarrow$ UV reaches surface
  - Skin Cancer, Cataracts, …
  - Crop Damage

Caused by CFC's (refrigeration, styrofoam,…) other chemicals

Stratosphere is very sensitive and poorly understood

Catalytic reactions: One CFC molecule leads to the destruction of **many** ozone molecules

$O_3 \longrightarrow O_2$

Growth of ozone hole



Side Effects (cont.)

- Fossil fuels → CO_2 → Greenhouse
  (any chemical fuel)



Climate changes: (40 - 100 yrs)

Increased desertification

Crop yields decrease

Runaway greenhouse?

(Could Earth become like Venus?)

Not likely to go this far

Global Mean Sea Level



Figure TS.18. *Annual averages of the global mean sea level based on reconstructed sea level fields since 1870 (red), tide gauge measurements since 1950 (blue) and satellite altimetry since 1992 (black). Units are in mm relative to the average for 1961 to 1990. Error bars are 90% confidence intervals. {Figure 5.13}*

Carbon Dioxide Increase



Over a Longer Period



The Temperature is Warming



berkeleyearth.org

From R. Muller, a climate change skeptic until 2012

Increased CO_2 and Volcanoes Explain the Data



Muller found no effects from changing solar output.

Production of CO_2

Figure 77. World Energy-Related Carbon Dioxide Emissions by Region, 2003-2030



Sources: **2003 and 2004:** Energy Information Administration (EIA), *International Energy Annual 2004* (May-July 2006), web site www.eia.doe.gov/iea. **Projections:** EIA, System for the Analysis of Global Energy Markets (2007).

Figure 13. Coal Consumption in Selected World Regions, 1980-2030



Sources: **History:** Energy Information Administration (EIA), *International Energy Annual 2005* (June-October 2007), web site www.eia.doe.gov/iea. **Projections:** EIA, World Energy Projections Plus (2008).

OECD: Europe, US, Canada, Australia, Japan, Mexico
Not Russia, India, China

Climate Change Reports

- Report of Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change released March 2014
- https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg1/
- Concerns about melting permafrost releasing methane, more warming, feedback
- Rising sea levels
- Food shortages

Population Explosion

(The revenge of Malthus?)

Agriculture - Population Growth - Disease

Population Growth leads to more rapid depletion of resources

More pollution

More conflict?

Two “events” (transitions)

10,000 yrs ago	Agriculture
250 yrs ago	Disease lessened (demographic transition)

Time	Total Pop.	Growth Rate (per thousand per year)
Before Agriculture	~ 8×10^6 (??)	0.015
~ 8000 BCE - 1 CE	~ 3×10^8	0.36
1 CE - 1750 CE	~ 8×10^8	0.56
1750-1800	~ 1×10^9	4.4
⋮		
1950 - 1975	4×10^9	17.1
2000	6×10^9	~ 12
2012	7×10^9	

Population Mathematics

Rate of increase $\propto$ Number $\times$ (Birth – Death)

leads to exponential growth if (Birth – Death) constant

Pop (t) = Pop (Now) $2^{(t/t_d)}$

t_d = doubling time $\simeq$ 65 years currently

So doubles in 65 yrs

Quadruples (2^2) in 130 yrs, …

1170 yr (18 t_d) Pop = 1.8×10^{15}

~ fills land area

2990 yr (46 t_d) Mass > $M_{(earth)}$!

14,625 yr (225 t_d) Mass expands at c !!

Current population growth is NOT sustainable

World Vital Events Per Time Unit: 2009

World Vital Events Per Time Unit: 2009

- (Figures may not add to totals due to rounding)
- ----------
- Natural
- Time unit Births Deaths increase
- ----------
- Year 135,474,672 55,664,164 79,810,508
- Month 11,289,556 4,638,680 6,650,876
- Day 371,163 152,505 218,659
- Hour 15,465 6,354 9,111
- Minute 258 106 152
- Second 4.3 1.8 2.5

http://www.census.gov/popclock/

Projected World Population Growth



Changes in Population



Population Doubling Time



Data taken from: Population Reference Bureau (2000)

Atlas of the Biosphere
Center for Sustainability and the Global Environment
University of Wisconsin - Madison



Effects of economic development:
Does negative effect on population growth
Beat positive effect on resource depletion?

Can we get to sustainable economy before
We exhaust resources?

The Example of China

- From 1990 to 2004, 400 million Chinese citizens escaped poverty (~1/3 of population)
- Population grew by about 120 million
- Growth rate about 1% per year
- Rate projected to decrease to 0.2% by 2025
- Population will be about 1.5 billion

Nuclear War

Total arsenal world-wide

Peaked at ~ 10,000 megatons

One ton is energy equivalent to “ton of TNT”

Global effects of all-out war

- Depletion of ozone
- Radioactive fallout
- Dust and smoke in atmosphere would block sunlight and lead to cooling of the Earth
  “Nuclear Winter”

The World's Nuclear Arsenals

Country	Suspected Strategic Nuclear Weapons	Suspected Non-Strategic Nuclear Weapons	Suspected Total Nuclear Weapons
 China	250	120	400
 France	350	0	350
 India	60	?	60+
 Israel	100-200	?	200+
 Pakistan	24-48	?	24-48

The World's Nuclear Arsenals (~2013)

Country	Suspected Strategic Nuclear Weapons	Suspected Non-Strategic Nuclear Weapons	Suspected Total Nuclear Weapons
 Russia	~ 1499	~ 3022	~ 4500
 United Kingdom	160	65	225
 United States	1722	3391	5113

Nuclear Warheads being Deactivated

- US-Russia Agreement to deactivate warheads (START Agreement 1994)
  - Agreed to reduce to 6000 warheads each
  - Expired Dec 2009
- Moscow Agreement (2002)
  - Decrease to 1700 – 2200 by 2012
- New Start
  - signed April 2010, into effect Feb. 2011
  - Reduces deployed nuclear weapons to 1550 per side

Natural Catastrophes

Collisions

Stars? Negligible Chance

Molecular Clouds? t $\sim 10^8$ yr
Likely, but the effects are unclear

Less dense clouds? More common but effects are probably less

Asteroids and other debris
(comets, meteoroids, …)

Meteor over Siberia February 15, 2013

Shallow angle entry, air burst, shock wave
1700 people injured, mostly by broken windows

Effect of Meteorite/Asteroid Impact

- $E_{kin} = ½ M v^2$
- Two examples: 2013 Meteorite and larger one

	2013 Siberian	Larger one
Size	~20 m	¼ km
Speed	19 km/s	30 km/s
E_{kin} (TNT equiv)	500 kilotons	7200 Megatons

- Hiroshima bomb was 13-18 kilotons
- 7200 Megatons would be like all-out nuclear war at height of cold war

How Often?

- Depends on size (many small, few large)
  - 2013 Siberian t ~ 100 yr
  - 1908 Siberian t ~ 1000 yr
  - (1 km or larger) t ~ 10^5 yr - 10^6 yr
  - Major Extinctions t ~ 30×10^6 yr
  - Mass Extinctions t ~ 100×10^6 yr ?
- These are statistical: no guarantees…

Spacewatch Detections



Most Dangerous Known Asteroid

1950 DA

Radar used to map orbit

~ 1 km in diameter

Close approach in Yr 2880



Probability of collision ~ 0.33%

V ~ 14 km s^{-1} E ~ 10^5 Megatons

Exact orbit depends on small effects - tugs from Earth, Mars, light absorption + radiation, …

Another “Interesting” Asteroid

- Apophis (2004 MN4)
- d = 0.25 km, would release 400 Megatons
- 1/45000 chance of collision in 2036
- http://neo.jpl.nasa.gov/risk/
- Has data base of Near Earth Objects
- Search for smaller ones?
  - B612 Foundation (Ed Lu)
  - Privately funded space telescope

Solar variations

~ 10^5 yr

1. Short term - cyclic variations in L, orbit
   of Earth --------> ice ages, climate change

~ 1-2 $\times 10^9$ yr

2. Sun increases in L
   on main sequence --------> loss of oceans
   UV + H_2O = 2H + O H lost to space

~ 5 $\times 10^9$ yr

3. Off main sequence leads to Red Giant
   atmosphere evaporates

Could advanced civilization delay loss of oceans?

(Decrease greenhouse, add dust)

Move to Mars? Mars will be in HZ by end of Sun's main sequence lifetime.

Red giants lose mass in winds: Earth's orbit moves out to 1.15 AU by 7.6 x 10^9 yr; but HZ is now 50-80 AU!

Sun's atmosphere engulfs Earth and it spirals in.

Other stars?

Nearby star leads to Supernova

If within 30 *ly*, ozone is destroyed ~ 2 ×10^9 yr

Extreme supernova, gamma ray burst

If within ~6000 ly, would affect ozone,

Atmospheric chemistry

Ultimate Limits

If Universe Closed, recollapses

$\sim 10^{12}$ Big Crunch (unlikely)

Very unlikely because evidence now indicates that expansion is accelerating (dark energy)

But, since we don't understand dark energy, it could reverse.

If open, expands forever

About 5 x 10^9 years, Andromeda collides with MW

10^{11} local galaxies collapse into a supergalaxy, if acceleration continues, all other galaxies have disappeared

10^{12} - 10^{14} all stars die

10^{17} planetary systems disrupted

10^{18} - 10^{20} galaxies “evaporate”

10^{33} - 10^{34} protons decay?

10^{100} Black holes evaporate

What to choose for L?

- For number of civilizations now,

  $L \leq 5 \times 10^9$ yrs -

  [age of galaxy – time to evolve]

Important to choose L consistent with what you think is the most likely way civilizations end.

Darkness

I had a dream, which was not all a dream.
The bright sun was extinguish’d, and the stars
Did wander darkling in the eternal space,
Rayless, and pathless, and the icy earth
Swung blind and blackening in the moonless air;

- Lord Byron, 1816